UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,379	07/11/2003	Jalpesh Patadia	ORACL-01362US0	4910
⁸⁰⁵⁴⁸ Fliesler Meyer l	7590 11/21/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
650 California S		LONG, ANDREA NATAE		
14th Floor San Francisco, (CA 94108	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/618,379	PATADIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrea N. Long	2176		

		7 (narea 14: Leng	2170	
The MAILING DATE of this	communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 November 2008</u>	FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final reje application, applicant must timely application in condition for allowar for Continued Examination (RCE) periods: 	file one of the following nce; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	vit, or other evidence, which e with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a	places the Request
a) 🔲 The period for reply expires	months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the statuto Examiner Note: If box 1 is checke	ory period for reply expire land, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN Th	ng date of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECT Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of defunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply may reduce any earned patent term adjustment NOTICE OF APPEAL	7 CFR 1.136(a). The date etermining the period of exithe expiration date of the seceived by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriate ex ginally set in the final Office action	tension fee on; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	. A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two months of the	ne date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFF Notice of Appeal has been filed, a AMENDMENTS	R 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the app	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed (a) They raise new issues that we have the control of the con	would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO		е
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or			educing or simplifying the iss	sues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claim NOTE: <u>See Continuation S</u>		-	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment (PTOL	324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the	ne following rejection(s):	:		
 Newly proposed or amended clain non-allowable claim(s). 	, ,	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims with the status of the claim(s) is (or with Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.5-12.14-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration.	would be rejected is provil be) as follows: 24 and 26-33		vill be entered and an explan	ation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence file because applicant failed to provide was not earlier presented. See 37 	e a showing of good and			
 The affidavit or other evidence file entered because the affidavit or of showing a good and sufficient reas 	ther evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fails to p	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/		n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attached.	
 The request for reconsideration has been Continuation Sheet. 			in condition for allowance be	cause:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Di</i>13. ☐ Other:	sclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Rachna S Desai/		
		Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 2176	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments introduce new claim limitations (i.e. plurality of modes, SPI and API share a content model, etc) requiring further search and/or consideration by the Examiner.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the claims when interpreted as a whole changes the scope of the invention and would require a new search and consideration. In particular the limitations of the second and third GUI displaying within the first GUI, know requires that GUIs to be interrelated within the same application. Further the limitation of the SPI and the API having to share a content model was not previously presented and therefor not considered. Since the limitations are outside of the scope of the Examiner's previous searches, the arguments directed to the above limitations will not be addressed.

Applicant asserts that the references fail to teach "that each one of the plurality of content respositories implements a SPI compatible with an API". As stated in the office action dated 09/26/2008, Park discloses a service publication server that provides for the same functionality of a SPI. The server publication systems can be installed in an operated by a web server and can acquire dynamic data not only from a file system or database system but also from various data sources on the Internet/Intranet and provide the acquired dynamic data to a user accessing thereto. The disclosing of acquiring data from multiple sources requires compatibility between the SPI and the API in addition to the teachings of Kim reasonably teaches the limitation "wherein each one of the plurality of content repositories represented by the VCR includes a service provider interface compatible with the API.